

Based on the article 7. Paragraph 1. Clause 2) sub-clause (2) of the Law on public procurement („Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, No. 124/2012, 14/2015 and 68/2015), Law on Ratification of the Finance Contract (Public sector research and development) concluded between the Republic of Serbia and the European investment bank („Official Gazette of RS”, number 5/10), article 53. of the Rulebook on public procurement process number 8015 dated 29.12.2015. article 16. paragraph 1. clause 2) Decision on the establishment of a limited liability company for managing of the project Research and Development in Public Sector (Official gazette of RS, No. 51/2010, 72/2013, 24/2014 and 65/2014), Decision to initiate the public procurement procedure no. IOP/09-2015/NCE/2 in the international open procedure with publishing in the OJEU, number 6426 dated 28.10.2015. (Decision on the amendment to the decision to initiate the public procurement procedure number IOP/09-2015/NCE/2 in the international open procedure with publishing in the OJEU number 7577 dated 14.12.2015.), European Investment Bank Guide to Procurement, consistently with Evaluation report number 10949/1 dated 10.08.2016. and the Amendment to the evaluation report number 10949/1 dated 10.08.2016. (filed at the Purchaser under number 11829/1 dated 16.09.2016.), acting Director of the Purchaser delivers the

DECISION ON CANCELLATION

1. International open procedure of the public procurement with publishing in the OJEU, number IOP/09-2015/NCE/2, whose subject is: Procurement of new capital equipment for the needs of scientific and research organizations, within the project Public Sector Research and Development, subproject Procurement of New capital equipment, from the means provided by European Investment Bank and Council of Europe Development Bank, is cancelled for the following lots:
 - **LOT 1** – one bid is submitted, which is substantially not responsive – inadequate and financially acceptable – acceptable bid.
 - **LOT 4** – one bid is submitted, which is substantially not responsive – inadequate and financially acceptable – acceptable bid;
 - **LOT 7** – two bids are submitted, where one is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid, and the other one is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable – acceptable bid;
 - **LOT 10** – two bids are submitted, where both are substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bids;
 - **LOT 13** - two bids are submitted, where both are substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bids;
 - **LOT 15** - one bid is submitted, which is substantially not responsive – inadequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bid;
 - **LOT 19** - one bid is submitted, which is substantially responsive – adequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bid;

- **LOT 20** - two bids are submitted, where one is substantially responsive – adequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bid, and another one is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bid;
- **LOT 22** - two bids are submitted, where both are substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bids;
- **LOT 24** - two bids are submitted, where both are substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bids;
- **LOT 25** - one bid is submitted, which is substantially not responsive – inadequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bid;
- **LOT 28** - one bid is submitted, which is substantially responsive – adequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bid;
- **LOT 31** – three bids are submitted, where two are substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bids, and one is substantially responsive – adequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bid;
- **LOT 32** – three bids are submitted, where two are substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bids, and one is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable – acceptable bid;
- **LOT 34** - two bids are submitted, where both are substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bids;
- **LOT 36** – no bids were submitted;
- **LOT 38** - three bids are submitted, where two are substantially responsive – adequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bids, and one is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bid;
- **LOT 40** - two bids are submitted, where both are substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bids;
- **LOT 41** - two bids are submitted, where one is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid, and the another one is substantially responsive – adequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bid;
- **LOT 42** – no bids were submitted;
- **LOT 44** - one bid is submitted, which is substantially responsive – adequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bid;
- **LOT 47** - one bid is submitted, which is substantially not responsive – inadequate and financially acceptable – acceptable bid;

2. This decision, within three days upon its delivering, shall be published on the website of the Purchaser.

R A T I O N A L E

Purchaser „JUP Istraživanje i razvoj” d.o.o. Beograd, Nemanjina 22-26 11000 Belgrade, delivered a Decision to initiate the public procurement number IOP/09-2015/NCE/2, in the international open procedure with publishing in the OJEU, number 6426 dated 28.10.2015. (Decision on the amendment to the decision to initiate the public procurement procedure number IOP/09-2015/NCE/2 in the international open procedure with publishing in the OJEU number 7577 dated 14.12.2015.) for the procurement of capital equipment.

Public procurement is organized in 48 lots.

Estimated value of the procurement: 471,360,000.00 dinars VAT excluded, i.e. 3,928,000.00 euros in accordance with the Financial plan and Procurement plan for 2015.

Estimated value per lot was determined based on individual approved values of items (in currency euro) defined in “Report on the project of rationalization of demands for the procurement of scientific and research equipment” made by the Working group, which was formed by the Decision of Ministry of Science and Technological Development number 119-01-14/2011-01 dated 08.02.2011. based on the comparable market prices.

Estimated value per lot:

No.	NAME OF THE LOT	Estimated value (in €)
1	Lot 1 – Electronics-equipment for biomedical research	122,000.00
2	Lot 2 – Microscopes	17,340.00
3	Lot 3 – Germanium detector	60,000.00
4	Lot 4 – Desktop parallel computing system	12,000.00
5	Lot 5 – Equipment of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology and food processing industry	67,850.00
6	Lot 6 – LC/MS/MS	190,000.00
7	Lot 7 – MAGNETRON SPUTTERING SYSTEMS	134,000.00
8	Lot 8 - Multiple Sensor O2/CO2 Chamber System	155,600.00
9	Lot 9 - High Resolution GC/MS	559,364.00
10	Lot 10 - Laser Microdissection Systems	126,000.00
11	Lot 11 - D-T neutron generator	120,000.00
12	Lot 12 - Microscope for cytogenetic analyses	150,000.00

13	Lot 13 - Liquid chromatography	105,625.00
14	Lot 14 - STEREO HIGH FRAME RATE (TIME-RESOLVED) PIV SYSTEM	211,500.00
15	Lot 15 - EMC Anechoic Chamber	100,000.00
16	Lot 16 - X-ray powder diffractometer	220,000.00
17	Lot 17 - Potentiostat/Galvanostat with Power Booster	64,330.00
18	Lot 18 – Microfluidic Bioanalyzer System	40,300.00
19	Lot 19 - Oxygraph System	34,893.6
20	Lot 20 – Animal Monitoring System, for 4 rats	95,414.76
21	Lot 21 - System for telemetric electromyography	35,000.00
22	Lot 22 - Nitric oxide analyser	35,650.00
23	Lot 23 - Catheters for Coronary Department	125,200.00
24	Lot 24 - Treadmil	33,000.00
25	Lot 25 - Analyzer to determine Total Organic Carbon (TOC) and TIC, TC, NPOC/POC, Total nitrogen TN and solid samples measuring	32,319.00
26	Lot 26 - Stopped-flow spectrophotometer	80,000.00
27	Lot 27 - FT IR spectrometer	21,500.00
28	Lot 28 - High Resolution Imaging CCD Spectrograph	80,000.00
29	Lot 29 - Speckle Interferometer	50,000.00
30	Lot 30 - Langmuir-Blodgett Trough	25,890.00
31	Lot 31 - Optical closed cycle cryostat	37,500.00
32	Lot 32 - High pressure PVT equilibrium view cell	40,000.00
33	Lot 33 - Immersion circulator	5,700.00
34	Lot 34 - Vertical bell laboratory vacuum furnace	50,000.00
35	Lot 35 - EMF analysers and meters	44,500.00
36	Lot 36 - RF power supply	8,500.00
37	Lot 37 - Antivibration platform for atomic force microscope	15,200.00
38	Lot 38 - Thermal evaporation system for film deposition	50,000.00
39	Lot 39 - Multipurpose four point probe for measuring sheet resistance	12,010.00
40	Lot 40 - Spatial Light Modulator	15,000.00
41	Lot 41 - Air bearing xy positioner	15,000.00
42	Lot 42 - Workstation	12,000.00
43	Lot 43 - Ultracentrifuge	76,000.00
44	Lot 44 - MOBIL LABORATORY FOR BIOSYSTEM ENGINEERING	144,200.00
45	Lot 45 - Laboratory Furnace and Safety Cabinets	11,934.00
46	Lot 46 - Laboratory Small Equipment	20,220.00
47	Lot 47 - Flash-lamp photonic curing tool with conveyor for flexible electronics	165,000.00

48	Lot 48 - Benchtop research flow cytometer	100,000.00
----	---	------------

Type of procedure: International open procedure of the public procurement, initiated based on Decision on public procurement procedure number IOP/09-2015/NCE/2 in the international open procedure with publishing it in the OJEU, number 6426 dated 28.10.2015. (Decision on amendment to decision on public procurement procedure number IOP/09-2015/NCE/2 in the international open procedure with publishing it in the OJEU, number 7577 dated 14.12.2015.) according to the article 7. paragraph 1. clause 2. sub-clause (2) Law on public procurement („Official Gazette of RS”, number 124/12 and 14/15), Law on Ratification of the Financial Loan Agreement (Public sector research and development) concluded between the Republic of Serbia and the European investment bank („Official Gazette of RS”, number 5/10), Law on ratification of the Loan Framework Agreement concluded between Council of Europe Development bank and the Republic of Serbia („Official Gazette of RS”, International agreements, number 13/10), clause 3.4. of EIB Guide to procurement.

The means for conducting the public procurement are provided by Financial agreement (Public sector research and development) made between the Republic of Serbia and the European investment bank, on 04.03.2010, which was ratified by the Law on Ratification of the Financial Loan Agreement (Public sector research and development) made between the Republic of Serbia and the European investment bank („Official Gazette of RS”, number 5/10), as well as the Loan Framework Agreement made between Council of Europe Development bank and the Republic of Serbia („Official Gazette of RS”, International agreements, number 13/10).

This public procurement is planned in the Procurement plan for 2015 (under the number 2.1.3.), and the means for the realization of the public procurement are provided in the Financial plan for 2015.

Public call for the submission of the bids is published in the Official Journal of the European Union (OJEU), on the Portal of Public Procurement Office and on the internet website of the Purchaser on 15.12.2015. with the deadline for the submission of bids until 05.02.2016. until 11:00 am.

The procurement Committee (hereinafter: Committee), due to the amendments and addenda of the Tender documentation, has extended the bid submission deadline twice, first until 18.02.2016. until 11:00 am, and then until 29.02.2016.

Upon the expiration of the bid submission deadline, the Committee conducted the bid opening procedure on 29.02.2016. starting at 13:00.

The Committee, in the Minutes of bid opening filed under the number 3643 dated 02.03.2016., noted that the bids of the following bidders were submitted in a timely manner:

No.	Bid is filed under the number	Name or code of the Bidder	LOT	Date of receipt	Time (h)
1.	2337	Canberra Packard Central Europe GmbH	3	17.02.2016.	9.10
2.	2375	Bio Logic Science Instruments	26	17.02.2016.	13.40

3.	2422	Ing. Prager Elektronik Handels GmbH	14	17.02.2016.		14.40
4.	3051	Oroboros Instruments Corp	19	24.02.2016.		10.45
5.	3168	Oroboros Instruments Corp - modification	19	25.02.2016.		10.30
6.	3197	SC NOVAINTRMED SRL	46	25.02.2016.		14.05
7.	3198	SC NOVAINTRMED SRL	38	25.02.2016.		14.05
8.	3201	Bio Tech a.s.	43	25.02.2016.		15.56
9.	3307	TSI GmbH	14	26.02.2016.		14.00
10.	3308	SC NOVAINTRMED SRL – substitution of bid (electronic version of bid offer)	38	26.02.2016.		14.30
11.	3322	Globe Corporation bv	38	26.02.2016.		14.35
12.	3323	Globe Corporation bv	37	26.02.2016.		14.35
13.	3325	Globe Corporation bv	31	26.02.2016.		14.35
14.	3324	Globe Corporation bv	32	26.02.2016.		14.35
15.	3321	Globe Corporation bv	40	26.02.2016.		14.35
16.	3320	Globe Corporation bv	34	26.02.2016.		14.35
17.	3319	Globe Corporation bv	41	26.02.2016.		14.35
18.	3318	Globe Corporation bv	43	26.02.2016.		14.35
19.	3317	Globe Corporation bv	1	26.02.2016.		14.35
20.	3316	Globe Corporation bv	5	26.02.2016.		14.35
21.	3326	Globe Corporation bv	30	26.02.2016.		14.35
22.	3327	Globe Corporation bv	27	26.02.2016.		14.35
23.	3315	Globe Corporation bv	7	26.02.2016.		14.35
24.	3314	Globe Corporation bv	17	26.02.2016.		14.35
25.	3313	Globe Corporation bv	21	26.02.2016.		14.35
26.	3312	Globe Corporation bv	26	26.02.2016.		14.35
27.	3309	Globe Corporation bv	24	26.02.2016.		14.35
28.	3310	Globe Corporation bv	22	26.02.2016.		14.35
29.	3354	Kefo d.o.o. Beograd	46	29.02.2016.		7.30
30.	3352	Kefo d.o.o. Beograd	33	29.02.2016.		7.30
31.	3351	Kefo d.o.o. Beograd	32	29.02.2016.		7.30
32.	3350	Kefo d.o.o. Beograd	17	29.02.2016.		7.30
33.	3349	Kefo d.o.o. Beograd	26	29.02.2016.		7.30
34.	3359	Group of bidders: Shimadzu d.o.o. Beograd i Ogranak Shimadzu Branch Beograd	13	29.02.2016.		8.10
35.	3358	Group of bidders: Shimadzu d.o.o. Beograd i Ogranak Shimadzu Branch Beograd	27	29.02.2016.		8.10
36.	3356	Group of bidders: Shimadzu d.o.o. Beograd i Ogranak Shimadzu Branch Beograd	25	29.02.2016.		8.10
37.	3360	Group of bidders: Shimadzu d.o.o. Beograd i Ogranak Shimadzu Branch Beograd	16	29.02.2016.		8.10
38.	3357	Group of bidders: Shimadzu d.o.o. Beograd i Ogranak Shimadzu Branch Beograd	6	29.02.2016.		8.10
39.	3361	DEX d.o.o. Beograd	47	29.02.2016.		8.20
40.	3363	Galen-Fokus d.o.o. Beograd	10	29.02.2016.		8.30
41.	3365	Proanalytica	45	29.02.2016.		9.00
42.	3366	Proanalytica	8	29.02.2016.		9.00
43.	3367	Proanalytica	30	29.02.2016.		9.00
44.	3370	Proanalytica	37	29.02.2016.		9.00
45.	3369	Proanalytica	46	29.02.2016.		9.00

46.	3368	Proanalytica	39	29.02.2016.		9.00
47.	3373	Alfamed d.o.o. Beograd	43	29.02.2016.		9.35
48.	3375	Ibis-Instruments d.o.o. Beograd	35	29.02.2016.		9.40
49.	3374	Joint bid: Gosper d.o.o. Beograd i Vicor d.o.o. Beograd	23	29.02.2016.		9.40
50.	3377	Aigo-BS d.o.o. Beograd	44	29.02.2016.		9.55
51.	3378	Aigo-BS d.o.o. Beograd	20	29.02.2016.		9.55
52.	3385	DSP Chromatography d.o.o. Beograd	6	29.02.2016.		10.00
53.	3381	Analysis d.o.o. Beograd	6	29.02.2016.		10.00
54.	3382	DSP Chromatography d.o.o. Beograd	18	29.02.2016.		10.00
55.	3379	Analysis d.o.o. Beograd	27	29.02.2016.		10.00
56.	3380	Analysis d.o.o. Beograd	9	29.02.2016.		10.00
57.	3384	DSP Chromatography d.o.o. Beograd	9	29.02.2016.		10.00
58.	3383	DSP Chromatography d.o.o. Beograd	13	29.02.2016.		10.00
59.	3396	Superlab d.o.o. Beograd	46	29.02.2016.		10.05
60.	3395	Superlab d.o.o. Beograd	20	29.02.2016.		10.05
61.	3394	Superlab d.o.o. Beograd	33	29.02.2016.		10.05
62.	3393	Superlab d.o.o. Beograd	5	29.02.2016.		10.05
63.	3392	Superlab d.o.o. Beograd	2	29.02.2016.		10.05
64.	3387	Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i uslugeNovi Sad	11	29.02.2016.		10.05
65.	3386	Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i uslugeNovi Sad	3	29.02.2016.		10.05
66.	3391	Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i uslugeNovi Sad	31	29.02.2016.		10.05
67.	3390	Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i uslugeNovi Sad	30	29.02.2016.		10.05
68.	3389	Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i uslugeNovi Sad	40	29.02.2016.		10.05
69.	3388	Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i uslugeNovi Sad	24	29.02.2016.		10.05
70.	3399	Kvark d.o.o. Kragujevac	38	29.02.2016.		10.10
71.	3398	Kvark d.o.o. Kragujevac	34	29.02.2016.		10.10
72.	3397	Kvark d.o.o. Kragujevac	22	29.02.2016.		10.10
73.	3407	Alfa Genetics d.o.o. Beograd	5	29.02.2016.		10.10
74.	3406	Kvark d.o.o. Kragujevac	28	29.02.2016.		10.10
75.	3405	Kvark d.o.o. Kragujevac	7	29.02.2016.		10.10
76.	3404	Kvark d.o.o. Kragujevac	11	29.02.2016.		10.10
77.	3403	Kvark d.o.o. Kragujevac	29	29.02.2016.		10.10
78.	3402	Kvark d.o.o. Kragujevac	41	29.02.2016.		10.10
79.	3401	Kvark d.o.o. Kragujevac	30	29.02.2016.		10.10
80.	3400	Kvark d.o.o. Kragujevac	32	29.02.2016.		10.10
81.	3411	Joint bid: Pan Computers d.o.o. i Ibis Instruments d.o.o.	21	29.02.2016.		10.12
82.	3410	Joint bid: Pan Computers d.o.o. i Ibis Instruments d.o.o.	17	29.02.2016.		10.12
83.	3409	Joint bid: Pan Computers d.o.o. i Ibis Instruments d.o.o.	4	29.02.2016.		10.12

84.	3408	Joint bid: Pan Computers d.o.o. i Ibis Instruments d.o.o.	3	29.02.2016.		10.12
85.	3412	Joint bid: Pan Computers d.o.o. i Ibis Instruments d.o.o.	31	29.02.2016.		10.12
86.	3413	Krug International LTD. Malta Ogransk Krug Beograd	16	29.02.2016.		10.16
87.	3414	Donau Lab d.o.o. Beograd	16	29.02.2016.		10.17
88.	3415	ROFA- Laboratory & Process Analyzers	16	29.02.2016.		10.18
89.	3416	Društvo za trgovinu i usluge ProMedia d.o.o. Kikinda	2	29.02.2016.		10.25
90.	3417	Društvo za trgovinu i usluge ProMedia d.o.o. Kikinda	12	29.02.2016.		10.25
91.	3418	Mikronik d.o.o. Beograd	12	29.02.2016.		10.26
92.	3419	Mikronik d.o.o. Beograd	10	29.02.2016.		10.26
93.	3420	Micom TM International d.o.o.	15	29.02.2016.		10.30
94.	3421	Uni-Chem	48	29.02.2016.		10.34

The Committee noted that there are no untimely bids.

After the conducted bid opening procedure, the Committee started evaluation of the submitted bids, which are the subject of this report.

Evaluation was done in accordance with the relevant provisions of the Bidding Document – Section I – Instructions to bidders E. Evaluation and comparison of bids, as well as the Section III - Evaluation and Qualification Criteria.

Section I - Instructions to bidders E. Evaluation and comparison of bids, clause 29. Determination of Responsiveness, sub-clause (29.2) defines that a substantially responsive - adequate bid is one that meets the requirements of the Bidding Documents without material deviation, reservation, or omission. Sub-clause (29.4) defines that if a bid is not substantially responsive to the requirements of Bidding Documents or financially does not comply (is not acceptable) with the Purchaser's Procurement Plan, it shall be rejected by the Purchaser, and such bid cannot be made responsive through the corrections of the material deviation, reservation, or omission.

During the evaluation of the bids, the Committee noted as follows:

LOT 001:

1) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv (Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands), bid number 3317 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, did not submit Form EXP Experience. Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and

- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with Section X Contract forms bidder submitted the Model contract on the procurement of goods but it is not signed and verified by a stamp;

Offered price is: 119,452.77 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 001 is 122,000.00 €, the Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable – acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv is substantially not responsive – inadequate and financially acceptable – acceptable bid.

LOT 002:

1) Bid by the bidder Superlab d.o.o. Beograd (Milutina Milankovića 25, 11000 Belgrade), bid number 3392 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- Offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 5,355.80 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 002 is 17,340.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Superlab d.o.o. Beograd is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Društvo za trgovinu i usluge ProMedia d.o.o. Kikinda (Kralja Petra I 114, 23300 Kikinda), bid number 3416 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 5,358.40 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 002 is 17,340.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Društvo za trgovinu i usluge ProMedia d.o.o. Kikinda is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 003:

1) Bid by the bidder Canberra Packard Central Europe GmbH (Wienersiedlung 6, A 2432 Schwadorf Austria), bid number 2337 dated 17.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid has the following deficiency – at the request of the Purchaser to extend the bid validity period until 11.10.2016., bidder did not extend the bid validity period, and therefore the bid is substantially not responsive – inadequate bid.

Offered price is: 44,982.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 003 is 60,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Canberra Packard Central Europe GmbH is substantially not responsive – inadequate bid and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i usluge Novi Sad (Novaka Radonjića 59, 21000 Novi Sad), bid number 3386 dated 29.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder, in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, in the Form EXP Experience listed, among other things, the contract concluded with the Directorate of Measures and Precious Metals in the value of delivered goods of 338,900.00 euros in the period from 30.05.2012. until 07.06.2013 (contract number 393-1/0-01-78/12 dated 30.05.2012.). Attached to the form he also submitted the following documents:

- Contract on the public procurement concluded with the Directorate of Measures and Precious Metals, number 393-1/0-01-78/12 dated 30.05.2012. where the total contracted price including VAT is 44,510,692.20 dinars,
- Bid dated 17.04.2012. with the total offered price VAT excluded of 338,900.00 euros and
- Confirmation of the Purchaser – user number 508/16 dated 23.02.2016. in which the purchaser confirms that the contract obligations have been executed in the amount of 383,900.00 euros.

Taking into consideration the discrepancies in respect of data on the value of the delivered goods to the mentioned client, on 16.05.2016. the Committee sent a request for the additional

clarification (number 7509 dated 16.05.2016.) in which it requested for the bidder to submit the clarification in which the discrepancy between data provided in the Form EXP Experience and data provided in the submitted evidence on fulfilling the professional capacity shall be explained.

On 17.05.2016. the bidder submitted the clarification of the bid (number 7524 od 17.05.2016.) in which he stated that during the preparation of the bid, and entering the data in Form EXP Experience for the client Directorate of Measures and Precious Metals, there has been an unintentional error made due to mixing of the figures, so instead of the correct amount of 383,900.00 euros, the amount of 338,900.00 euros is inserted. Also, he mentioned that the calculation of amount in dinars of 44,510,692.20 dinars, which is stated in the contract with the client, was done according to the exchange rate of the National Bank of Serbia on 30.05.2012., and thus the amount of 383,900.00 euros is obtained.

According to the aforementioned, Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 34,780.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 003 is 60,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i usluge Novi Sad is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

3) Bid by the group of bidders Pan Computers d.o.o. (Puškinova 11, 21000 Novi Sad) i Ibis Instruments d.o.o. (Tošin bunar 272, 11070 Beograd), bid number 3408 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 68,000.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 003 is 60,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the group of bidders Pan Computers d.o.o. and Ibis Instruments d.o.o. is substantially responsive - adequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

LOT 004:

1) Bid by the group of bidders Pan Computers d.o.o. (Puškinova 11, 21000 Novi Sad) and Ibis Instruments d.o.o. (Tošin bunar 272, 11070 Belgrade), bid number 3409 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid has the following deficiency – at the request of the Purchaser to extend the bid validity period until 11.10.2016., bidder did not extend the bid validity period, and therefore the bid is substantially not responsive – inadequate bid.

Offered price is: 4,800.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 004 is 12,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the group of bidders Pan Computers d.o.o. and Ibis Instruments d.o.o. is substantially not responsive – inadequate bid and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 005:

1) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv (Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands), bid number 3316 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, did not submit Form EXP Experience. Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and
- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiencies:

- In accordance with the Section X Contract forms the bidder submitted Model contract on the procurement of goods unsigned and unverified by a stamp;
- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 49,314.69 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 005 is 67,850.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Superlab d.o.o. Beograd (Milutina Milankovića 25, 11000 Beograd), bid number 3393 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 63,345.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 005 is 67,850.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Superlab d.o.o. Beograd is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

3) Bid by the bidder Alfa Genetics d.o.o. Beograd (Račkoga 5, 11080 Beograd), bid number 3407 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 66,849.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 005 is 67,850.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Alfa Genetics d.o.o. Beograd is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 006:

1) Bid by the bidder DSP Chromatography d.o.o. Beograd (Milutina Milankovića 120g, 11070 Belgrade), bid number 3385 dated 29.02.2016.

During the evaluation, the Committee noted that in Annex I – Technical specification the offered items are defined by clauses 27. – Thermostatted column compartment and 28. – Temperature range: 20 - 100°C, while in the catalogue it is impossible to determine whether the certificate (brochure) on the thermostating of the column is also to be delivered.

Having in mind that in Section I – Instructions to bidders, clause 16. Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Conformity of the Goods and Related Services, in order to determine the conformity of goods and the related services with the bidding document, it is foreseen for the bidder to submit, as a part of his bid, evidence that the goods are in conformity with technical specification in accordance with the Section VII – Schedule of requirements, clause 2. Technical specification (Annex I), it is foreseen that the bidder, who participates in the

procedure of the procurement, shall submit the filled form Annex I – Technical specification and that he is obliged to submit, with it, the catalogue in which he has to clearly mark the product (type/model) with a highlighter, with all its additional parts, which is being offered and also he has to mark the lot and the item within the lot to which the bid refers. On 16.05.2016 the Committee sent to the bidder a request for further clarification (number 7510 dated 16.05.2016.), in which it is requested for the bidder to submit the clarification of whether within the equipment which he offers there is a certificate (brochure) on the thermostating of the column.

On 17.05.2016. the bidder submitted the clarification of the bid (number 7518 dated 17.05.2016.) in which he confirms that the bid for LC MS/MS system includes the thermostatted column compartment Agilent Technologies 1290 series, catalogue number G1316C which has the option of controlling the temperature column in range from 10°C below the room temperature to 100°C as is listed in the technical offer and fully fulfils the set technical request for the control of temperature in the range from 20 - 100°C. Also, the bidder submitted attached the specification of the manufacturer for the offered module.

According to the aforementioned, Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 187,420.90 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 006 is 190,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder DSP Chromatography d.o.o. Beograd substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

2) Bid by the group of bidders Shimadzu d.o.o. Beograd (Goce Delčeva 46/I, 11070 New Belgrade) and Ogranak Shimadzu Branch Beograd (Goce Delčeva 46/I, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3357 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiencies:

- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.
- Instrument of financial security for Bid Security has shorter validity period than the requested one in the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 139,000.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 006 is 190,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the group of bidders Shimadzu d.o.o. Beograd and Ogranak Shimadzu Branch Beograd is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

3) Bid by the bidder Analysis d.o.o. (Gandijeva 76a, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3381 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 152,650.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 006 is 190,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Analysis d.o.o. is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 007:

1) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands, bid number 3315 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee has determined that Annex I – Technical specification does not state the item "Quartz Crystal Thickness Monitor(s)" for measuring the thickness of the deposited layer, while it is mentioned in the following documentation (catalogue), in part related to the software control and in part which states the device for cooling the source and thickness meter

Section I – Instructions to bidders, clause 16. Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Conformity of the Goods and Related Services, in order to determine the conformity of the goods and the following services with the tender document, foresees that the bidder should submit, as the part of his bid, evidence that the goods are in conformity with the technical specification, in accordance with the Section VII - Schedule of Requirements. In Section VII - Schedule of Requirements, clause 2. Technical Specification (Annex I), the bidder who participates in the procurement procedure submits the filled form Annex I – Technical Specification and he is obliged to submit the catalogue, with the bid, in which he has to clearly mark, with the marker, the product (type/model) with all additional parts, which is being offered and also the lot and the item within the lot to which the bid refers have to be marked. Having this in mind, the Committee sent to the bidder a request for clarification, on 16.05.2016, (number 7513 dated 16.05.2016.) in which it requires the bidder to submit the clarification of whether the equipment he offers includes the "Quartz Crystal Thickness Monitor(s)".

On 23.05.2016. the Bidder submitted the clarification of the bid (number 7941 dated 23.05.2016.) in which he confirms that "Quartz Crystal Thickness Monitor(s)" is included in the offered equipment.

According to the aforementioned, Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Also, during the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the

bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, did not submit Form EXP Experience.

Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and
- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with Section X Contract forms bidder submitted the Model contract on the procurement of goods which is not signed and not verified by a stamp;

Offered price is: 216,383.17 € VAT excluded

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 007 is 134,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Kvarik d.o.o. Kragujevac (Karađorđeva 33, 34 000 Kragujevac), bid number 3405 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 129,680.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 007 is 134,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Kvarik d.o.o. Kragujevac is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 008:

1) Bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o. (Bulevar umetnosti 27, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3366 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 155,500.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 008 is 155,600.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o. is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 009:

1) Bid by the bidder DSP Chromatography d.o.o. Beograd (Milutina Milankovića 120g, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3384 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 243,733.92 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 009 is 559,364.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder DSP Chromatography d.o.o. Beograd is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Analysis d.o.o. Beograd (Gandijeva 76a, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3380 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 537,150.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 009 is 559,364.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Analysis d.o.o. is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 010:

1) Bid by the group of bidders Tehnooptika Smolnikar and Mikronik d.o.o. Beograd (Birčaninova 12, 11000 Belgrade), bid number 3419 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 119,000.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 010 is 126,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the group of bidders Tehnooptika Smolnikar and Mikronik d.o.o. is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Galen-Fokus d.o.o. Beograd (Hadži Milentijeva 34, 11000 Beograd), bid number 3363 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with the Section X Contract Forms, the bidder did not submit the Model contract on the procurement of goods, signed and verified by a stamp;

Offered price is: 99,900.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 010 is 126,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Galen-Fokus d.o.o. Beograd is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 011:

1) Bid by the bidder Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i usluge Novi Sad, (Novaka Radonjića 59, 21000 Novi Sad), bid number 3387 dated 29.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder, in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, in the Form EXP Experience listed, among other things, the contract concluded with the Directorate of Measures and Precious Metals in the value of delivered goods of 338,900.00 euros in the period from 30.05.2012. until

07.06.2013 (contract number 393-1/0-01-78/12 dated 30.05.2012.). Attached to the form he also submitted the following documents:

- Contract on the public procurement concluded with the Directorate of Measures and Precious Metals, number 393-1/0-01-78/12 dated 30.05.2012. where the total contracted price including VAT is 44,510,692.20 dinars,
- Bid dated 17.04.2012. with the total offered price VAT excluded of 338,900.00 euros and
- Confirmation of the Purchaser – user number 508/16 dated 23.02.2016. in which the purchaser confirms that the contract obligations have been executed in the amount of 383,900.00 euros.

Taking into consideration the discrepancies in respect of data on the value of the delivered goods to the mentioned client, on 16.05.2016. the Committee sent a request for the additional clarification (number 7509 dated 16.05.2016.) in which it requested for the bidder to submit the clarification in which the discrepancy between data provided in the Form EXP Experience and data provided in the submitted evidence on fulfilling the professional capacity shall be explained.

On 17.05.2016. the bidder submitted the clarification of the bid (number 7524 od 17.05.2016.) in which he stated that during the preparation of the bid, and entering the data in Form EXP Experience for the client Directorate of Measures and Precious Metals, there has been an unintentional error made due to mixing the figures, so instead of the correct amount of 383,900.00 euros, the amount of 338,900.00 euros is inserted. Also, he mentioned that the calculation of amount in dinars of 44,510,692.20 dinars, which is stated in the contract with the client, was done according to the exchange rate of the National Bank of Serbia on 30.05.2012., and thus the amount of 383,900.00 euros is obtained.

According to the aforementioned, Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 114,890.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 011 is 120,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i usluge, Novi Sad, substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Kvark d.o.o. Kragujevac (Karadorđeva 33, 34 000 Kragujevac), bid number 3404 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 98,360.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 011 is 120,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Kvarak d.o.o. Kragujevac is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 012:

1) Bid by the bidder Društvo za trgovinu i usluge ProMedia d.o.o. Kikinda (Kralja Petra I 114, 23 300 Kikinda), bid number 3417 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 145,000.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 012 is 150,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Društvo za trgovinu i usluge ProMedia d.o.o. Kikinda is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

2) Bid by the group of bidders Tehnooptika Smolnikar and Mikronik d.o.o. Beograd (Birčaninova 12, 11000 Beograd), bid number 3418 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 147,000.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 012 is 150,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the group of bidders Tehnooptika Smolnikar and Mikronik d.o.o. is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 013:

1) Bid by the bidder DSP Chromatography d.o.o. Beograd (Milutina Milankovića 120g, 11070 Novi Beograd), bid number 3383 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 92,648.30 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 013 is 105,625.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder DSP Chromatography d.o.o. Beograd is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

2) Bid by the group of bidders Shimadzu d.o.o. Beograd (Goce Delčeva 46/I 11070 New Belgrade) and Ogranak Shimadzu Branch Beograd (Goce Delčeva 46/I 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3359 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiencies:

- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.
- Instrument of financial security for Bid Security has shorter validity period than the requested one in the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 77,000.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 013 is 105,625.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the group of bidders Shimadzu d.o.o. Beograd and Ogranak Shimadzu Branch Beograd is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 014:

1) Bid by the bidder Ing. Prager Elektronik Handels GmbH (2120 Wolkersdorf, Traunstrasse 21), bid number 2422 dated 17.02.2016.

During the evaluation, the Committee determined that in the Letter of Bid under (e) the total price of our Bid, excluding any discounts offered in item (f), the amount of 293,765.00 euros is stated, while in the Price schedule form for goods and related services (Annex II) the amount of 7,200.00 euros is stated.

Having in mind that, Section IV – Bidding forms, table of the forms, under 1. and 6. foresees that the bidder who participates in the public procurement, should fill in the Letter of Bid and Price schedule form for goods and related services (Annex II) where, among other things, he should insert the total price of his bid excluding VAT, determined in the Republic of Serbia, the Committee, on 16.05.2016., sent to the bidder a request for further clarification (number 7512 dated 16.05.2016.) since there are discrepancies in respect of the abovementioned amounts in Letter of Bid and Price schedule form for goods and related services (Annex II), to

submit the clarification of whether the amount of 293,765.00 euros, which the bidder stated in the Letter of Bid, is his offered price excluding VAT, determined in the Republic of Serbia.

Also, the Committee evaluated that he bid has the following deficiencies:

- In accordance with the Section IV Bidding forms, Form EXP Experience is not submitted, contracts nor the confirmation letter form a client;
- In accordance with the Section X Contract forms the bidder submitted Model contract on the procurement of goods not signed and not verified by a stamp;
- In accordance with the Section III Evaluation and qualification criteria, certain evidence on fulfilling the conditions have been submitted in a language which is not the language of the tender and the bid (English or Serbian) and
- Offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 293,765.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 014 is 211,500.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Ing. Prager Elektronik Handels GmbH is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder TSI GmbH (Neukoellner str. 4, Achen Germany), bid number 3307 dated 26.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 209,935.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 014 is 211,500.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the bidder TSI GmbH is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 015:

1) Bid by the bidder Micom TM International d.o.o. (Bulevar Vojvode Mišića 39a, 11000 Beograd), bid number 3420 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with the Section III Evaluation and Qualification criteria, under 2.3 Professional capacity of bidder, it is requested as evidence for the bidder to submit at most two contracts whose value is over or at least equal to the value of the bid,

however the bidder submitted three contracts where the value of any two contracts cumulatively is below the value of the bid.

- Instrument of financial security for Bid Security is not submitted;

Offered price is: 169,000.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 015 is 100,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Micom TM International d.o.o. is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bid.

LOT 016:

- 1) Bid by the group of bidders Shimadzu d.o.o. Beograd (Goce Delčeva 46/I 11070 Novi Beograd) and Ogranak Shimadzu Branch Beograd (Goce Delčeva 46/I 11070 Novi Beograd), bid number 3360 dated 29.02.2016.**

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.
- Instrument of financial security for Bid Security has shorter validity period than the requested one in the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 147,000.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 016 is 220,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the group of bidders Shimadzu d.o.o. Beograd and Ogranak Shimadzu Branch Beograd is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

- 2) Bid by the bidder Donau Lab d.o.o. Beograd (Karadorđeva 15/2, 11080 Belgrade), bid number 3414 dated 29.02.2016.**

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 212,297.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 016 is 220,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Donau Lab d.o.o. Beograd is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

3) Bid by the bidder Krug International LTD. Malta Ogranak Krug Beograd (Deset Avijatičara 2A, 11050 Beograd), bid number 3413 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 194,920.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 016 is 220,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Krug International LTD. Malta Ogranak Krug Beograd is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

4) Bid by the bidder ROFA - Laboratory & Process Analyzers (Mag. Matthias Fiedler e.U. Austria), bid number 3415 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 208,900.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 016 is 220,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder ROFA - Laboratory & Process Analyzers is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 017:

1) Bid by the group of bidders Pan Computers d.o.o. (Puškinova 11, 21000 Novi Sad) and Ibis Instruments d.o.o. (Tošin bunar 272, 11070 Beograd,) bid number 3410 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 176,000.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 017 is 64,330.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the group of bidders Pan Computers d.o.o. and Ibis Instruments d.o.o. is substantially responsive - adequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv (Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands), bid number 3314 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, did not submit Form EXP Experience.

Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and
- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with Section X Contract forms bidder submitted the Model contract on the procurement of goods which is not signed and not verified by a stamp;

Offered price is: 69,251.17 € VAT excluded

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 017 is 64,330.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bid.

3) Bid by the bidder Kefo d.o.o. Beograd (Bačka 1U, 11080 Beograd), bid number 3350 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 64,300.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 017 is 64,330.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Kefo d.o.o. Beograd is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 018:

1) Bid by the bidder DSP Chromatography d.o.o. Beograd (Milutina Milankovića 120g, 11070 Novi Beograd), bid number 3382 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 38,144.36 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 018 is 40,300.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder DSP Chromatography d.o.o. Beograd is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 019:

1) Bid by the bidder Oroboros Instruments Corporation (Schoepfstrasse 18, 6020 Innsbruck Austria), bid number 3051 dated 24.02.2016. and Amendment to the bid, number 3168 dated 25.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 38,968.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 019 is 34,893.6 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Oroboros Instruments Corporation is substantially responsive – adequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

LOT 020:

1) Bid by the bidder Superlab d.o.o. Beograd (Milutina Milankovića 25, 11000 Beograd), bid number 3395 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 114,900.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 020 is 95,414.76 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Superlab d.o.o. Beograd is substantially responsive - adequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Aigo-BS d.o.o. Beograd (Kneginje Zorke 25-27, 11000 Beograd), bid number 3378 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 109,400.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 020 is 95,414.76 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Aigo-BS d.o.o. Beograd is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

LOT 021:

1) Bid by the group of bidders Pan Computers d.o.o. (Puškinova 11, 21000 Novi Sad) i Ibis Instruments d.o.o. (Tošin bunar 272, 11070 Beograd), bid number 3411 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 35,000.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 021 is 35,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is within the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the group of bidders Pan Computers d.o.o. Beograd and Ibis Instruments d.o.o. Beograd substantially responsive - adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv (Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands), bid number 3313 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bids for lot 21, the Committee has determined that all the items which the bidder offered in Annex I – Technical Specification have not been clearly marked in the catalogue.

Section I – Instructions to bidders, clause 16. Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Conformity of the Goods and Related Services, in order to determine the conformity of the goods and the following services with the tender document, foresees that the bidder should submit, as the part of his bid, evidence that the goods are in conformity with the technical specification, in accordance with the Section VII - Schedule of Requirements. In Section VII - Schedule of Requirements, clause 2. Technical Specification (Annex I), the bidder who participates in the procurement procedure submits the filled form Annex I – Technical Specification and he is obliged to submit the catalogue, with the bid, in which he has to clearly mark, with the marker, the product (type/model) with all additional parts, which is being offered and also the lot and the item within the lot to which the bid refers have to be marked. Having this in mind, the Committee, on 16.05.2016., sent a clarification request (number 7514 dated 16.05.2016.), in which it requests for the bidder to submit the clarification of whether the equipment he offers contains all items requested in Annex I – Technical specification, which he offered in it, and he should have also stated where these items are in the catalogue which he submitted in the bid.

On 23.05.2016. the bidder submitted the clarification of the bid (number 7941 dated 23.05.2016.) in which he states that the equipment he offers contains all items requested in Annex I - Technical specification, and he submitted the complete list of these items.

According to the aforementioned, Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Also, during the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, did not submit Form EXP Experience.

Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and
- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with Section X Contract forms bidder submitted the Model contract on the procurement of goods which is not signed and not verified by a stamp.

Offered price is: 33,804.40 € VAT excluded

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 021 is 35.000,00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 022:

1) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv (Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands), bid number 3310 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, did not submit Form EXP Experience.

Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and
- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with Section X Contract forms bidder submitted the Model contract on the procurement of goods which is not signed and not verified by a stamp

Offered price is: 45,739.35 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 022 is 35,650.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Kvarik d.o.o. Kragujevac (Karadordeva 33, 34 000 Kragujevac), bid number 3397 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- Instrument of financial security for Bid Security is not submitted;

Offered price is: 49,980.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 022 is 35,650.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Kvarik d.o.o. Kragujevac is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

LOT 023:

1) Bid by the group of bidders Gosper d.o.o. Beograd and Vicor d.o.o. Beograd (Omladinskih brigada 86p, Beograd), bid number 3374 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 123,000.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 023 is 125,200.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the group of bidders Gosper d.o.o. Beograd and Vicor d.o.o. Beograd substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 024:

1) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv (Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands), bid number 3309 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, did not submit Form EXP Experience.

Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and
- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with Section X Contract forms bidder submitted the Model contract on the procurement of goods which is not signed and not verified by a stamp

Offered price is: 36,316.50 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 024 is 33,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i usluge, Novi Sad (Novaka Radonjića 59, 21000 Novi Sad), bid number 3388 dated 29.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder, in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, in the Form EXP Experience listed, among other things, the contract concluded with the Directorate of Measures and Precious Metals in the value of delivered goods of 338,900.00 euros in the period from 30.05.2012. until 07.06.2013 (contract number 393-1/0-01-78/12 dated 30.05.2012.). Attached to the form he also submitted the following documents:

- Contract on the public procurement concluded with the Directorate of Measures and Precious Metals, number 393-1/0-01-78/12 dated 30.05.2012. where the total contracted price including VAT is 44,510,692.20 dinars,
- Bid dated 17.04.2012. with the total offered price VAT excluded of 338,900.00 euros and
- Confirmation of the Purchaser – user number 508/16 dated 23.02.2016. in which the purchaser confirms that the contract obligations have been executed in the amount of 383,900.00 euros.

Taking into consideration the discrepancies in respect of data on the value of the delivered goods to the mentioned client, on 16.05.2016. the Committee sent a request for the additional clarification (number 7509 dated 16.05.2016.) in which it requested for the bidder to submit the clarification in which the discrepancy between data provided in the Form EXP Experience

and data provided in the submitted evidence on fulfilling the professional capacity shall be explained.

On 17.05.2016. the bidder submitted the clarification of the bid (number 7524 od 17.05.2016.) in which he stated that during the preparation of the bid, and entering the data in Form EXP Experience for the client Directorate of Measures and Precious Metals, there has been an unintentional error made due to mixing the figures, so instead of the correct amount of 383,900.00 euros, the amount of 338,900.00 euros is inserted. Also, he mentioned that the calculation of amount in dinars of 44,510,692.20 dinars, which is stated in the contract with the client, was done according to the exchange rate of the National Bank of Serbia on 30.05.2012., and thus the amount of 383,900.00 euros is obtained

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiencies:

- Instrument of financial security for Bid Security is not submitted;
- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 53,235.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 024 is 33,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i usluge Novi Sad, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

LOT 025:

- 1) Bid by the group of bidders Shimadzu d.o.o. Beograd (Goce Delčeva 46/I 11070 New Belgrade) and Ogranak Shimadzu Branch Beograd (Goce Delčeva 46/I 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3356 dated 29.02.2016.**

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- Instrument of financial security for Bid Security has shorter validity period than the requested one in the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 34,900.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 025 is 32,319.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the group of bidders Shimadzu d.o.o. Beograd and Ogranak Shimadzu Branch Beograd is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

LOT 026:

1) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv (Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands), bid number 3312 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, submitted empty Form EXP Experience.

Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and
- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with Section X Contract forms bidder submitted the Model contract on the procurement of goods which is not signed and not verified by a stamp;

Offered price is: 74,391.62 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 026 is 80,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid. .

2) Bid by the group of bidders Kefo d.o.o. Beograd (Bačka 1U, 11080n Belgrade), bid number 3349 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 82,000.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 026 is 80,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Kefo d.o.o. Beograd is substantially responsive - adequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

3) Bid by the group of bidders Bio-Logic Science Instruments d.o.o. (1. Rue de Europe F-38640 Claix France), bid number 2375 dated 17.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, the Committee determined that the bidder submitted Annex I – Technical specification unsigned and that the pages of the Form technical specification in which the data and changes are to be inserted, are not initialled by the person or persons authorized for signing the bid, which is foreseen in Section VII – Schedule of requirements, clause 2. Technical specification (Annex I) and Annex I – Technical specification.

Section I – Instructions to bidders, clause 16. Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Conformity of the Goods and Related Services, in order to determine the conformity of goods and the related services with the bidding document, it is foreseen for the bidder to submit, as a part of his bid, evidence that the goods are in conformity with technical specification in accordance with the Section VII – Schedule of requirements, clause 2. Technical specification (Annex I), it is foreseen that the bidder, who participates in the procedure of the procurement, shall submit the filled form Annex I – Technical specification and that he is obliged to submit, with it, the catalogue in which he has to clearly mark the product (type/model) with a highlighter, with all its additional parts, which is being offered and also he has to mark the lot and the item within the lot to which the bid refers.

Having in mind that from the submitted catalogue one can undoubtedly determine that the bidder offered equipment which is demanded in Annex I – Technical specification, unsigned Annex I – technical specification is not considered to be a deficiency.

According to the aforementioned, Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 67,418.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 026 is 80,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid. According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Bio-Logic Science Instruments d.o.o. substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 027:

1) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv (Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands), bid number 3327 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the

bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, submitted empty Form EXP Experience.

Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and
- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with Section X Contract forms bidder submitted the Model contract on the procurement of goods which is not signed and not verified by a stamp,
 - offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 24,593.95 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 027 is 21,500.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

2) Bid by the group of bidders Shimadzu d.o.o. Beograd (Goce Delčeva 46/I 11070 New Belgrade) and Ogranak Shimadzu Branch Beograd (Goce Delčeva 46/I 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3358 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiencies:

- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.
- Instrument of financial security for Bid Security has shorter validity period than the requested one in the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 18,900.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 027 is 21,500.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the group of bidders Shimadzu d.o.o. Beograd and Ogranak Shimadzu Branch Beograd is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

3) Bid by the bidder Analysis d.o.o. Beograd (Gandijeva 76a, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3379 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 20,000.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 027 is 21,500.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Analysis d.o.o. is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid. .

LOT 028:

1) Bid by the bidder Kvark d.o.o. Kragujevac (Karadrđeva 76a, 11070 Kragujevac), bid number 3406 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 80,640.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 028 is 80,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Kvark d.o.o. Kragujevac is substantially responsive - adequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

LOT 029:

1) Bid by the bidder Kvark d.o.o. Kragujevac (Karadrđeva 76a, 11070 Kragujevac), bid number 3403 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 49,600.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 029 is 50,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Kvarak d.o.o. Kragujevac is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 030:

1) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands, bid number 3326 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, did not submit Form EXP Experience.

Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and
- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with Section X Contract forms bidder submitted the Model contract on the procurement of goods which is not signed and not verified by a stamp;
- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 24,368.45 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 030 is 25,890.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i usluge, Novi Sad, (Novaka Radonjića 59, 21000 Novi Sad), bid number 3390 dated 29.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder, in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, in the Form EXP Experience listed, among other things, the contract concluded with the Directorate of Measures and Precious Metals in the value of delivered goods of 338,900.00 euros in the period from 30.05.2012. until

07.06.2013 (contract number 393-1/0-01-78/12 dated 30.05.2012.). Attached to the form he also submitted the following documents:

- Contract on the public procurement concluded with the Directorate of Measures and Precious Metals, number 393-1/0-01-78/12 dated 30.05.2012. where the total contracted price including VAT is 44,510,692.20 dinars,
- Bid dated 17.04.2012. with the total offered price VAT excluded of 338,900.00 euros and
- Confirmation of the Purchaser – user number 508/16 dated 23.02.2016. in which the purchaser confirms that the contract obligations have been executed in the amount of 383,900.00 euros.

Taking into consideration the discrepancies in respect of data on the value of the delivered goods to the mentioned client, on 16.05.2016. the Committee sent a request for the additional clarification (number 7509 dated 16.05.2016.) in which it requested for the bidder to submit the clarification in which the discrepancy between data provided in the Form EXP Experience and data provided in the submitted evidence on fulfilling the professional capacity shall be explained.

On 17.05.2016. the bidder submitted the clarification of the bid (number 7524 od 17.05.2016.) in which he stated that during the preparation of the bid, and entering the data in Form EXP Experience for the client Directorate of Measures and Precious Metals, there has been an unintentional error made due to mixing the figures, so instead of the correct amount of 383,900.00 euros, the amount of 338,900.00 euros is inserted. Also, he mentioned that the calculation of amount in dinars of 44,510,692.20 dinars, which is stated in the contract with the client, was done according to the exchange rate of the National Bank of Serbia on 30.05.2012., and thus the amount of 383,900.00 euros is obtained.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiencies:

- Instrument of financial security for bid security is not submitted;
- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 70,445.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 030 is 25,890.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i usluge Novi Sad, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

3) Bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o. (Bulevar umetnosti 27, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3367 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 25,500.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 030 is 25,890.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o. is substantially responsive - adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

4) Bid by the bidder Kvarik d.o.o. Kragujevac (Karadordeva 33, 34 000 Kragujevac), bid number 3401 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 28,600.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 030 is 25,890.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Kvarik d.o.o. Kragujevac is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

LOT 031:

1) Bid by the group of bidders Pan Computers d.o.o. (Piškinova 11, 21000 Novi Sad) and Ibis Instruments d.o.o. (Tošin bunar 272, 11070 Belgrade) bid number 3412 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 52,500.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 031 is 37,500.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, Committee notes that the bid by the group of bidders Pan Computers d.o.o. and Ibis Instruments d.o.o. is substantially responsive - adequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands, bid number 3325 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the

bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, did not submit Form EXP Experience. Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and
- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with Section X Contract forms bidder submitted the Model contract on the procurement of goods which is not signed and not verified by a stamp;

Offered price is: 59,554.76 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 031 is 37,500.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

3) Bid by the bidder Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i usluge, Novi Sad, (Novaka Radonjića 59, 21000 Novi Sad) bid number 3391 dated 29.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder, in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, in the Form EXP Experience listed, among other things, the contract concluded with the Directorate of Measures and Precious Metals in the value of delivered goods of 338,900.00 euros in the period from 30.05.2012. until 07.06.2013 (contract number 393-1/0-01-78/12 dated 30.05.2012.). Attached to the form he also submitted the following documents:

- Contract on the public procurement concluded with the Directorate of Measures and Precious Metals, number 393-1/0-01-78/12 dated 30.05.2012. where the total contracted price including VAT is 44,510,692.20 dinars,
- Bid dated 17.04.2012. with the total offered price VAT excluded of 338,900.00 euros and
- Confirmation of the Purchaser – user number 508/16 dated 23.02.2016. in which the purchaser confirms that the contract obligations have been executed in the amount of 383,900.00 euros.

Taking into consideration the discrepancies in respect of data on the value of the delivered goods to the mentioned client, on 16.05.2016. the Committee sent a request for the additional clarification (number 7509 dated 16.05.2016.) in which it requested for the bidder to submit

the clarification in which the discrepancy between data provided in the Form EXP Experience and data provided in the submitted evidence on fulfilling the professional capacity shall be explained.

On 17.05.2016. the bidder submitted the clarification of the bid (number 7524 od 17.05.2016.) in which he stated that during the preparation of the bid, and entering the data in Form EXP Experience for the client Directorate of Measures and Precious Metals, there has been an unintentional error made due to mixing the figures, so instead of the correct amount of 383,900.00 euros, the amount of 338,900.00 euros is inserted. Also, he mentioned that the calculation of amount in dinars of 44,510,692.20 dinars, which is stated in the contract with the client, was done according to the exchange rate of the National Bank of Serbia on 30.05.2012., and thus the amount of 383,900.00 euros is obtained

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- Instrument of financial security for Bid Security is not submitted;

Offered price is: 52,410.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 031 is 37,500.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i usluge, Novi Sad, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

LOT 032:

1) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands, bid number 3324 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, did not submit Form EXP Experience.

Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and
- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with Section X Contract forms bidder submitted the Model contract on the procurement of goods which is not signed and not verified by a stamp;

Offered price is: 62,141,16 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 032 is 40,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Kefo d.o.o. Beograd (Bačka 1U, 11080 Belgrade), bid number 3351 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 40,000.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 032 is 40,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is within the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Kefo d.o.o. Beograd is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

3) Bid by the bidder Kvarik d.o.o. Kragujevac (Karadorđeva 33, 34000 Kragujevac), bid number 3400 dated 29.02.2016.

During the evaluation the Committee determined that in Annex I –Technical specification, clause 6 requires - "A view cell should contain pressure (precision better than 0.25%) and temperature (precision better than 0.1%) transducers and volume measurements with the precision better than 0.1%. The cell should contain several sampling ports and one port for sampling at high pressure", and the bidder offered "Precise volume control with measurement of the piston position in the cell and Precise pressure and temperature measurement and control", which is marked in the submitted catalogue.

Section I – Instructions to bidders, clause 16. Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Conformity of the Goods and Related Services, in order to determine the conformity of goods and the related services with the bidding document, it is foreseen for the bidder to submit, as a part of his bid, evidence that the goods are in conformity with technical specification in accordance with the Section VII – Schedule of requirements, clause 2. Technical specification (Annex I), it is foreseen that the bidder, who participates in the procedure of the procurement, shall submit the filled form Annex I – Technical specification and that he is obliged to submit,

with it, the catalogue in which he has to clearly mark the product (type/model) with a highlighter, with all its additional parts, which is being offered and also he has to mark the lot and the item within the lot to which the bid refers. Having this in mind, the Committee, on 26.05.2016., sent a request for clarification to the bidder (number 8184 dated 26.05.2016.), in which it requests the bidder to submit the clarification of whether the bid in clause 6. Of the Annex I – Technical specification includes "A view cell should contain pressure (precision better than 0.25%) and temperature (precision better than 0.1%) transducers and volume measurements with the precision better than 0.1%. The cell should contain several sampling ports and one port for sampling at high pressure", considering that from the bid one cannot determine the parameters of precision of measuring the mentioned clause of Annex I – Technical specification.

On 27.05.2016. the bidder submitted the clarification of the bid (number 8211 dated 27.05.2016.), which the bidder obtained from the manufacturer of the equipment.

Having this in mind, the Committee evaluates that the offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 78,900.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 032 is 40,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Kvarak d.o.o. Kragujevac is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

LOT 033:

1) Bid by the bidder Superlab d.o.o. Beograd (Milutina Milankovića 25, 11000 Belgrade), bid number 3394 dated 29.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, the Committee determined that the bidder did not state the item "Motor rating input 15 W" in Annex I – Technical specification, and this item cannot be found in the submitted catalogue as well.

Section I – Instructions to bidders, clause 16. Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Conformity of the Goods and Related Services, in order to determine the conformity of goods and the related services with the bidding document, it is foreseen for the bidder to submit, as a part of his bid, evidence that the goods are in conformity with technical specification in accordance with the Section VII – Schedule of requirements, clause 2. Technical specification (Annex I), it is foreseen that the bidder, who participates in the procedure of the procurement, shall submit the filled form Annex I – Technical specification and that he is obliged to submit, with it, the catalogue in which he has to clearly mark the product (type/model) with a highlighter, with all its additional parts, which is being offered and also he has to mark the lot and the item within the lot to which the bid refers. Having this in mind the Committee, on 26.05.2016, sent a clarification request to the bidder (number 8185 dated 26.05.2016.) in which it requests the bidder to submit the clarification of whether the equipment offered by

the bidder, includes the item "Motor rating input 15 W", as well as to state whether and where this item can be found in the catalogue which is submitted in the bid.

On 31.05.2016. the bidder submitted the clarification of the bid (number 8275 dated 31.05.2016.) in which he submitted the part of the catalogue where the requested item can be found. Considering that the bidder did not fully explain the bid in the requested part, on 03.06.2016., the Committee sent an additional clarification request (number 8400 dated 03.06.2016.) in which it requests the bidder to state precisely whether the equipment being offered includes the item "Motor rating input 15 W".

On 03.06.2016. the bidder submitted the explanation of the bid (number 8407 dated 03.06.2016.) in which he confirms that the equipment in lot 33 includes item "Motor rating input 15 W", which he documented with the instruction manual for this device, which was submitted in the previous clarification.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 2,778.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 033 is 5,700.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable – acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Superlab d.o.o. Beograd is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable – acceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Kefo d.o.o. Beograd (Bačka 1U, 11080 Belgrade), bid number 3352 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 4,450.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 033 is 5,700.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable – acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Kefo d.o.o. Beograd is substantially not responsive – inadequate and financially acceptable – acceptable bid.

LOT 034:

3) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv (Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands), bid number 3320 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, did not submit Form EXP Experience.

Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and
- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with Section X Contract forms bidder submitted the Model contract on the procurement of goods which is not signed and not verified by a stamp;

Offered price is: 60,156.45 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 034 is 50,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

3) Bid by the bidder Kvark d.o.o. Kragujevac (Karađorđeva 33, 34 000 Kragujevac), bid number 3398 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- Instrument of financial security for bid security is not submitted;

Offered price is: 240,000.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 034 is 50,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Kvark d.o.o. Kragujevac is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

LOT 035:

1) Bid by the bidder Ibis-Instruments d.o.o. (Tošin bunar 272, 11070 Belgrade) bid number 3375 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 44,250.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 035 is 44,500.00 € Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Ibis-Instruments d.o.o. is substantially responsive - adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 036:

Committee notes that no bids were submitted for Lot 036.

LOT 037:

1) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands, bid number 3323 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, did not submit Form EXP Experience.

Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and
- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with Section X Contract forms bidder submitted the Model contract on the procurement of goods which is not signed and not verified by a stamp;

Offered price is: 17,144.30 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 037 is 15,200.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o. (Bulevar umetnosti 27, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3370 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 15,000.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 037 is 15,200.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o. is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 038:

1) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands, bid number 3322 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, did not submit Form EXP Experience.

Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and
- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with Section X Contract forms bidder submitted the Model contract on the procurement of goods which is not signed and not verified by a stamp;

Offered price is: 71,945.73 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 038 is 50,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Kvarik d.o.o. Kragujevac (Karadordeva 33, 34000 Kragujevac), bid number 3399 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 92,340.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 038 is 50,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Kvarik d.o.o. Kragujevac is substantially responsive - adequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

3) Bid by the bidder SC NOVAINTERMED SRL (5A, Drumul Potcoavei St. Pipera, Ilfov-Romania, bid number 3198 dated 25.02.2016., Addenda to the bid number 3308 dated 26.02.2016. (CD with the electronic copy of the bid).

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 107,600.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 038 is 50,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder SC Nova Intermed SRL substantially responsive - adequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

LOT 039:

1) Bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o. (Bulevar umetnosti 27, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3368 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 11,800.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 039 is 12,010.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o. is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 040:

1) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands, bid number 3321 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, did not submit Form EXP Experience.

Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and
- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with Section X Contract forms bidder submitted the Model contract on the procurement of goods which is not signed and not verified by a stamp;

Offered price is: 17,648.24 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 040 is 15,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i usluge, Novi Sad (Novaka Radonjića 59, 21000 Novi Sad), bid number 3389 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- Instrument of financial security for bid security is not submitted;

Offered price is: 19,300.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 040 is 15,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i usluge Novi Sad, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

LOT 041:

1) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands, bid number 3319 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, did not submit Form EXP Experience.

Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and
- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with Section X Contract forms bidder submitted the Model contract on the procurement of goods which is not signed and not verified by a stamp;

Offered price is: 24,886.09 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 041 is 15,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Kvarik d.o.o. Kragujevac (Karadorđeva 33, 34 000 Kragujevac), bid number 3402 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 29,890.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 041 is 15,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Kvarik d.o.o. Kragujevac is substantially responsive - adequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

LOT 042:

Committee notes that no bids were submitted for Lot 042.

LOT 043:

1) Bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, Galvaniweg 4, 6101 XH ECHT, The Netherlands, bid number 3318 dated 26.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, Committee noted that the bidder in accordance with the Section III – Evaluation and Qualification criteria, clause 2.3 Professional capacity of the bidder, sub-clause 2.3.1 Professional capacity, among other things, did not submit Form EXP Experience.

Bidding Document foresees that the bidder proves the fulfilment of the conditions for Professional capacity by submitting the following evidence:

- Copies of signed contracts (or exceptionally, Copies of Invoices in question, and in that case the amount of the single invoice corresponds to a single contract),
- List of references and
- Confirmation Letter from Client.

Having in mind that the requested professional capacity is undoubtedly proven by the bidder by submitting the other documents, the non-submission of Form EXP Experience is not considered to be a deficiency.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- In accordance with Section X Contract forms bidder submitted the Model contract on the procurement of goods which is not signed and not verified by a stamp;

Offered price is: 65,510.68 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 043 is 76,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Globe Corporation bv, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Bio Tech a.s. (Služeb 4. 108 00 Prague 10, Czech Republic), bid number 3201 dated 25.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- Instrument of financial security for Bid Security has shorter validity period than the requested one in the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 43,260.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 043 is 76,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Bio Tech a.s. is substantially not responsive – inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

3) Bid by the bidder Alfamed d.o.o. Beograd (Ljubomira Stojanovića 3, 11080 Beograd), bid number 3373 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 32,550.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 043 is 76,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Alfamed d.o.o. Beograd is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid. .

LOT 044:

1) Bid by the bidder Aigo-BS d.o.o. Beograd (Kneginje Zorke 25-27, 11000 Beograd), bid number 3377 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 163,750.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 044 is 144,200.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Aigo-BS d.o.o. Beograd is substantially responsive - adequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

LOT 045:

1) Bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o. (Bulevar umetnosti 27, 11070 Novi Beograd), bid number 3365 dated 29.02.2016.

During the evaluation of the bid, the Committee determined in Annex I – Technical specification (lot 45, 45.2), the requested delivery period is 90 days, and that the bidder in his bid in Annex I - Technical specification, did not state the delivery deadline. However during the overview of the electronic copy of the bid it has been determined that in Annex I – Technical specification the requested delivery period of 90 days is offered.

Section I – Instructions to bidders, clause 16. Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Conformity of the Goods and Related Services, in order to determine the conformity of goods and the related services with the bidding document, it is foreseen for the bidder to submit, as a part of his bid, evidence that the goods are in conformity with technical specification in accordance with the Section VII – Schedule of requirements, clause 2. Technical specification (Annex I), it is foreseen that the bidder, who participates in the procedure of the procurement, shall submit the filled form Annex I – Technical specification and that he is obliged to submit, with it, the catalogue in which he has to clearly mark the product (type/model) with a highlighter, with all its additional parts, which is being offered and also he has to mark the lot and the item within the lot to which the bid refers. Having this in mind the Committee, on 31.05.2016., sent a clarification request to the bidder (number 8276 dated 31.05.2016.), in which it requests the bidder to submit the clarification of the mentioned discrepancy of the data, and to confirm the offered delivery period.

On 31.05.2016. the bidder submitted the clarification (number 8292 dated 31.05.2016.), in which he confirms that he offered the requested delivery period of 90 days, and that a technical error occurred during the printing of excel table.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 11,750.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 045 is 11,934.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o., is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 046:

1) Bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o. (Bulevar umetnosti 27, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3369 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 20,100.00 € VAT excluded

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 046 is 20,220.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o. is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

2) Bid by the bidder Superlab d.o.o. Beograd (Milutina Milankovića 25, 11000 Beograd), bid number 3396 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 19,995.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 046 is 20,220.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Superlab d.o.o. Beograd is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

3) Bid by the group of bidders Kefo d.o.o. Beograd (Bačka 1U, 11080 Beograd), bid number 3354 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiency:

- offered technical specification does not fulfil the requests from the Bidding Document.

Offered price is: 19,900.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 046 is 20,220.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Kefo d.o.o. Beograd is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

4) Bid by the bidder SC NOVAINTRMED (5A Drumul Potcoavei St. Pipera, Ilfov – Romania), bid number 3197 dated 25.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 25,394.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 046 is 20,220.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is higher than the estimated value, i.e. that the bid is financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder SC NOVAINTRMED is substantially responsive - adequate and financially unacceptable - unacceptable bid.

LOT 047:

1) Bid by the bidder Dex d.o.o. Beograd, (Goce Delčeva 46, 11070 Beograd), bid number 3361 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee notes that the bid has the following deficiencies:

- In accordance with Section VI Bank Policy - Corrupt and Fraudulent Practices, the bidder did not submit Covenant of Integrity to the Borrower from a Supplier;
- In accordance with Section X Contract forms, bidder did not submit the signed and verified by a stamp Model contract on the procurement of goods.

Offered price is: 165,000.00 € VAT excluded

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 047 is 165,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is within the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Dex d.o.o. Beograd, is substantially not responsive - inadequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

LOT 048:

1) Bid by the bidder Uni-Chem d.o.o. (Strahinjića Bana 44, 11000 Beograd), bid number 3421 dated 29.02.2016.

Committee evaluated that the bid fulfils the requests from the Bidding Document, and it notes that the bid is substantially responsive - adequate bid.

Offered price is: 98,000.00 € VAT excluded.

Considering that the estimated value for Lot 048 is 100,000.00 €, Committee notes that the offered price is lower than the estimated value, i.e. that it is financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

According to the aforementioned, the Committee notes that the bid by the bidder Uni-Chem d.o.o. is substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bid.

Upon the evaluation and overview of the bids, the Committee started ranking the substantially responsive – adequate and financially acceptable - acceptable bids based on the evaluation criterion.

The criterion for bid evaluation is the “**lowest offered price**”.

The bids are ranked from the lowest to the highest price. The lowest evaluated bid is the most favourable bid.

In case that there are two or more equal lowest evaluated bids, the Purchaser will choose a bid according to the following criteria:

- If there are two or more bids with the same offered price, bidder who offers shorter delivery period shall be considered more favourable;
- In case of two or more equal lowest evaluated bids, and Bidders offering the same delivery period – the most favourable bid shall be determined by a draw.

RANKING

LOT 2

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Društvo za trgovinu i usluge ProMedia d.o.o. Kikinda (Kralja Petra I 114, 23300 Kikinda), bid number 3416 dated 29.02.2016.	5,358.40 € VAT excluded

LOT 3

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i usluge Novi Sad (Novaka Radonjića 59, 21000 Novi Sad), bid number 3386 dated 29.02.2016.	34,780.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 5

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Alfa Genetics d.o.o. Beograd (Račkoga 5, 11080 Belgrade), bid number 3407 dated 29.02.2016.	66,849.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 6

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Analysis d.o.o. (Gandijeva 76a, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3381 dated 29.02.2016.	152,650.00 € VAT excluded
2.	Bid by the bidder DSP Chromatography d.o.o. Beograd (Milutina Milankovića 120g, 11070 Belgrade), bid number 3385 dated 29.02.2016.	187,420.90 € VAT excluded

LOT 8

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o. (Bulevar umetnosti 27, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3366 dated 29.02.2016.	155,500.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 9

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Analysis d.o.o. (Gandijeva 76a, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3381 dated 29.02.2016.	537,150.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 11

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Kvarik d.o.o. Kragujevac (Karadorđeva 33, 34 000 Kragujevac), bid number 3404 dated 29.02.2016.	98,360.00 € VAT excluded
2.	Bid by the bidder Engel d.o.o. za proizvodnju, trgovinu i usluge Novi Sad, (Novaka Radonjića 59, 21000 Novi Sad) bid number 3387 dated 29.02.2016.	114,890.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 12

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Društvo za trgovinu i usluge ProMedia d.o.o. Kikinda (Kralja Petra I 114, 23 300 Kikinda), bid number 3417 dated 29.02.2016.	145,000.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 14

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder TSI GmbH (Neukoellner str. 4, Achen Germany), bid number 3307 dated 26.02.2016.	209,935.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 16

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder ROFA - Laboratory & Process Analyzers (Mag. Matthias Fiedler e.U. Austria), bid number 3415 dated 29.02.2016.	208,900.00 € VAT excluded
2.	Bid by the bidder Donau Lab d.o.o. Beograd (Karadordeva 15/2, 11080 Belgrade), bid number 3414 dated 29.02.2016.	212,297.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 17

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Kefo d.o.o. Beograd (Bačka 1U, 11080 Belgrade), bid number 3350 dated 29.02.2016.	64,300.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 18

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder DSP Chromatography d.o.o. Beograd (Milutina Milankovića 120g, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3382 dated 29.02.2016.	38,144.36 € VAT excluded

LOT 21

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the group of bidders Pan Computers d.o.o. (Piškinova 11, 21000 Novi Sad) and Ibis Instruments d.o.o. (Tošin bunar 272, 11070 Belgrade), bid number 3411 dated 29.02.2016.	35,000.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 23

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the group of bidders Gosper d.o.o. Beograd and Vicor d.o.o. Beograd (Omladinskih brigade 86p, Belgrade), bid number 3374 dated 29.02.2016.	123,000.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 26

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the group of bidders Bio-Logic Science Instruments d.o.o. (1. Rue de Europe F-38640 Claix France), bid number 2375 dated 17.02.2016.	67,418.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 27

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Analysis d.o.o. Beograd (Gandijeva 76a, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3379 dated 29.02.2016.	20,000.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 29

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Kvarik d.o.o. Kragujevac (Karadrđeva 76a, 11070 Kragujevac), bid number 3403 dated 29.02.2016.	49,600.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 30

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o. (Bulevar umetnosti 27, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3367 dated 29.02.2016.	25,500.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 33

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Superlab d.o.o. Beograd (Milutina Milankovića 25, 11000 Belgrade), bid number 3394 dated 29.02.2016.	2,778.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 35

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
----------------	---------------------------	----------------------

1.	Bid by the bidder Ibis-Instruments d.o.o. (Tošin bunar 272, 11070 Beograd) bid number 3375 dated 29.02.2016.	44,250.00 € VAT excluded
----	--	--------------------------

LOT 37

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o. (Bulevar umetnosti 27, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3370 dated 29.02.2016.	15,000.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 39

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o. (Bulevar umetnosti 27, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3368 dated 29.02.2016.	11,800.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 43

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Alfamed d.o.o. Beograd (Ljubomira Stojanovića 3, 11080 Belgrade), bid number 3373 dated 29.02.2016.	32,550.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 45

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o. (Bulevar umetnosti 27, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3365 dated 29.02.2016.	11,750.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 46

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
1.	Bid by the bidder Superlab d.o.o. Beograd (Milutina Milankovića 25, 11000 Belgrade), bid number 3396 dated 29.02.2016.	19,995.00 € VAT excluded
2.	Bid by the bidder Proanalytica d.o.o. (Bulevar umetnosti 27, 11070 New Belgrade), bid number 3369 od 29.02.2016.	20,100.00 € VAT excluded

LOT 48

Ranking	Name of the bidder	Offered price
---------	--------------------	---------------

1.	Bid by the bidder Uni-Chem d.o.o. (Strahinjića Bana 44, 11000 Belgrade), bid number 3421 dated 29.02.2016.	98,000.00 € VAT excluded
----	--	--------------------------


For lots: **LOT 1, LOT 4, LOT 7, LOT 10, LOT 13, LOT 15, LOT 19, LOT 20, LOT 22, LOT 24, LOT 25, LOT 28, LOT 31, LOT 32, LOT 34, LOT 36, LOT 38, LOT 40, LOT 41, LOT 42, LOT 44 i LOT 47**, for which no bids were submitted, or for which the substantially not responsive – inadequate and financially unacceptable – unacceptable bids, or substantially responsive - adequate but financially unacceptable – unacceptable, or substantially not responsive – inadequate but financially acceptable – acceptable bids were submitted, the Committee suggested acting Director of the Purchaser to, in the procedure of the Procurement of new capital equipment for the needs of scientific and research organizations number IOP/09-2015/NCE/2, deliver a Decision on cancellation.

Pursuant to everything abovementioned, and the suggestion of the Committee, provided in the Evaluation report number 10949/1 dated 10.08.2016. and the “non-objection” of the competent authorities of the European Investment Bank, which provided its consent, number 10181 dated 20.07.2016. and the Amendment to the evaluation report number 10949/1 dated 10.08.2016. and the “non-objection” of the competent authorities of the European Investment Bank, which provided its consent, number 11830 dated 16.09.2016., it has been decided as in the enacting clause.

Legal remedy:

Hereby we state that against this decision, the bidder can file a complaint to the Purchaser within 10 days from the day of its publishing on the website of the Purchaser, in accordance with the European Investment Bank Guide to Procurement.

The Purchaser has to report and submit the copy of every filed complaint to the competent authorities of European Investment Bank. The complaint does not delay the conducting of further procedure.


 ACTING DIRECTOR
 PhD Goran Kvirgić